

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ಗದಗ್.—ಗದಗ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ಅಫೆಕ್ಟ್ ಆಗಿವೆ. ಅದರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ತಕಾವಿ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದಂತೆ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ, ಮತ್ತು ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿ ದೆಯೋ ಅಂಥ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅವರು ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

### SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER

#### Allotment of Fertilisers in Maddur Taluk Co-operative Societies.

Q.—19. Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the quantum of fertilisers allotted to the following Co-operative Societies in Maddur Taluk between November 1st, 1965 and March 1st, 1966 :—

1. Somanahally Large Scale Society ;
2. Thyloor Service Co-operative Society ;
3. Naviles Service Co-operative Society ;
4. Kestur Large Scale Co-operative Society.
5. Bekkalale Co-operative Society ;

(b) the villages coming under the jurisdiction of the said Societies ;

(c) whether complaints have been received by the Department of Co-operation that Somanahally Society has not been provided with fertilisers when requested by the Society ;

(d) what are the quantities of fertilisers given to each of the Societies between November 1965 and up to March 1st, 1966 (details may be given) ?

A.—Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Co-operation and Housing).—

|   | Tonnes | Kgs. |
|---|--------|------|
| (a) 1. Somanahally Large Scale Society      | 24     | ...  |
| 2. Thyloor Service Co-operative Society.    | 9      | 300  |
| 3. Navile Service Co-operative Society.     | 2      | 720  |
| 4. Kestur Large Scale Co-operative Society. | 42     | 100  |
| 5. Bekkalale Service Co-operative Society.  | 11     | 560  |

(b) Villages coming under the jurisdiction of each Society is furnished below :—

1. Somanahalli L. S. Society—

1. Somanahalli
2. Kodihalli

## (SRI K. PUTTASWAMY)

3. Shivapura
4. Huluganahalli
5. Arlelinganahalli
6. Boodaguppe
7. Nidagatta
8. Madapuradoddi and Bechirak
9. Rudrakshipura and Bechirak
10. Kodlipura.

2. *Kestur L. S. Society—*

1. Kestur :
  - (a) Adiganahalli
  - (b) Basavanalinganadoddi
  - (c) Yadanahalli
  - (d) Compalapura
2. Dundanahalli :
  - (a) Chakenakere
  - (b) Bettadarayanadoddi
  - (c) Yedigaradoddi
  - (d) Gollaradoddi
3. Thoresettihalli
4. Thubinakere
5. Machahalli

3. *Bekkalale Service Co-operative Society—*

1. Bekkalale
2. Kirangoor
3. Halehalli
4. Yadavanahalli

4. *Thyloor Service Co-operative Society—*

1. Thyloor
2. Madanayakanahalli
3. Thippoor

5. *Navile Service Co-operative Society—*

1. Navile
2. Ankanathapura
3. Harakanahalli

(c) Yes.

|  | Tonnes | Kgs. |
|--|--------|------|
| (d) 1. Somanahalli Large Scale Society.    | 13     | ...  |
| 2. Kestur Large Scale Society.             | 40     | 200  |
| 3. Bekkalale Service Co-operative Society. | 3      | ...  |
| 4. Thyloor Service Co-operative Society.   | 11     | ...  |
| 5. Navile Service Co-operative Society.    | Nil    | ...  |

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—24 ಟನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅರಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇರೆ ಕೇವಲ 13 ಟನ್ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸೋಮನ ಹಳ್ಳಿ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಈ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ 30ನೆ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಒಳಗೆ 34 ಟನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ 13 ಟನ್ ಬರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ಒಂದನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನವರೆಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ 13 ಟನ್ ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 31ರ ವೇಳೆಗೆ ಉಳಿದುದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Don't you think it is better for us to confine to the question itself? The question is when it was allotted 24 tons within 1st March 1966, why is it only 13 tons was supplied to this particular society?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Perhaps the society has not lifted or there was not sufficient stock.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—In answer to my question whether complaints have been received by the Department of Co-operation, it is said 'yes'. Has the Department of Co-operation given in writting to this particular society saying that there is no stock in the taluk marketing society?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, the complaint relates to a period not covered by the question. The complaint was the Somanahalli Co-operative Society gave a complaint on 15th October 1965 and that was received by the Registrar on 6th November 1965. There was some difficulty then. Subsequently Government have framed rules, appointed a district level committee and also a taluk level committee. There is no difficulty regarding allotment of fertilisers to the society.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—I would like to know from the Government if whatever decision that the Committee takes, is that decision binding on the taluk marketing society or would the taluk marketing society have a veto over the decision taken?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, whatever decision is taken by the Committee should be and is binding on the taluk marketing society. The taluk marketing society is only an agent and they are expected to supply the fertilisers in accordance with the allotment made by the committees both at the taluk level and district level.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—If they have not done in the past, if any marketing society does not respect the allotment order made by this committee, would the Government assure this House that necessary steps would be taken against such society?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Subsequent to the formation of district committees—the committees subsequently coming into existence, the district level and taluk level committees—as far as I can see, no taluk marketing society has refused to honour the allotment made by them. Prior to that, there are one or two such instances. In such cases, there was no particular allotment. The allotment was not prescribed. So, in view of the difficulties experienced, Government thought it fit to appoint district level committees. Now, there will be no difficulty. If any such instances are brought to the notice of the Government, necessary action will certainly be taken.

**Sri K. LAKKAPPA.**—On account of the non-supply of fertilisers to such societies, is it not a fact that paddy growing area has suffered very much and the paddy has not been grown as much as it could have been grown if fertilisers were applied? What action will Government take? Will Government probe into these things and appoint a committee to enquire into all these irregularities?

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Sir, I do not know whether my friend is putting a question with particular reference to the societies which are the subject matter of this question. If it is a general question, Government will consider the suggestion made by the Hon'ble Member.

**Sri S. M. KRISHNA.**—The Kestur Large Scale Society has been allotted 40 tons and it has been given up to 1st March 1966. Why was not a similar treatment given to a sister society like the Somanahally Society?

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—As I already submitted, I do not know whether the Somanahalli Large Scale Society went to lift the fertilisers at all. Any way, this allotment is for the Rabi crop. As I already brought to the notice of the House, subsequent to March 1, the Somanahalli Co-operative Society has lifted the fertilisers allotted to them and something more.

9-30 A.M.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.**—ಗೊಬ್ಬರವನ್ನು ಹಂಚತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಒಂದು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಕ್ಕೆ ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಹಸ್ತಕ್ಷೇಪವನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.**—ಸರ್ಕಾರವೇನೂ ಹಸ್ತಕ್ಷೇಪ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Question Hour is over.

## QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

### Famine Relief Works in Shimoga District.

\*Q.—189. **Sri V. S. LAKSHMIKANTHAPPA (Sagar).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the funds allotted towards famine relief works during the year 1965 in Shimoga District;

(b) the amount spent out of it till the end of December 1965?

A.—**Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).**—

(a) Rs. 45,000.

(b) Nil.